

Subject: FTC2016 : Paper 146

From: "Conference Management Toolkit" <cmt@microsoft.com>

Date: 10.06.2016 10:14

To: "Constantin Volosencu" <constantin.volosencu@aut.upt.ro>

Constantin Volosencu has uploaded review for Spatial Regularization for Neural Network and Application in Alzheimer's Disease Classification

-- Review Summary --

1. Detailed Comments

I do not know if the method presented in the paper will have good results in practice. Only after many other future tests and detailed developments a conclusion may be taken.

2. Please rate your satisfaction with the basic sections (introduction, conclusion, works cited, etc.) ?

Poor

3. The material is ordered in a way that is logical, clear, and easy to follow?

Poor

4. The writer adequately summarizes and discusses the topic?

Poor

5. The writer makes some contribution of thought to the paper or merely summarizes data or publications?

Poor

6. The writer introduces and documents sources adequately and appropriately?

Good

7. The formatting of the manuscript is in accordance to the prescribed paper format?

Good

8. The paragraphs and sentences are cohesive (flow together smoothly without disruption in the train of thought)?

Good

9. Are there any grammar, punctuation, or spelling errors?

-

10. Overall Rating

Neutral

11. If Accepted, would you like to nominate this Paper for Best Paper Award?

No

--

This message has been scanned for viruses and dangerous content by MailScanner, and is believed to be clean.